

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,110	04/13/2004	San Aranggi Soemardjan	1116109-0033	4491
26874 7	590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
FROST BROWN TODD, LLC 2200 PNC CENTER			MATTHEWS, TERRELL HOWARD	
201 E. FIFTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			3654	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cumman.	10/823,110	SOEMARDJAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Terrell H. Matthews	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-14 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3,9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schneider (6880678).

Referring to claims 1,9-10. Schneider discloses a "Device For Carrying Out Work In An Elevator Shaft" as claimed. See Figs. 1-4 and respective portions of the specification. Schneider further discloses an elevator car (2) comprising: a top surface

Art Unit: 3654

(15) of the elevator having an opening; and a refuge area structure (12) having a first panel (22) foldably connected to the opening and a second panel (12) foldably connected to the first panel, the structure having a closed position in which the first and second panels are oriented coplanar within the opening in the top surface and fill the opening; and an open position in which the first panel is oriented downwardly into the interior of the elevator car and the second panel is oriented substantially horizontally within the interior of the car and vertically below the opening to form a work platform for supporting a worker (See Col. 2 I. 45 – Col. 3 I. 55 & Fig. 4).

Referring to claims 2-3. Schneider discloses wherein the first panel (22) and second panel (12) have a length substantially equal to the length of the opening in the top surface of the elevator car, and the sum of the widths of the first and second panels is substantially equal to the width of the opening. It is broadly construed and generally understood that the length and widths of the first and second panels is equal to the width of the opening so they can fill the opening when in the closed position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,110

Art Unit: 3654

Page 4

Referring to claims 4-7,11-14. Schneider discloses the invention as described above in detail. Schneider does not disclose that the width of the first and second panel is at least two feet or that the length of the first and second panel is at least 2.7 feet. It would have been an obvious design consideration to one of ordinary skill in the art at the etime of the invention to modify the apparatus of Schneider so that the width and length of the first and second panel was 2 feet and 2.7 feet respectively to provide an opening that was dimensioned properly for a maintenance work to perform repair work effectively. Furthermore, a change in size is generally recognized as not being sufficient to patentably distinguish over prior art.

Referring to claim 8. Schneider does not disclose wherein the second panel is oriented substantially vertically above opening in the top of the elevator car to form an escape hatch. It is broadly construed and generally understood however that based on where the hinges are placed and the ability of the panels to pivot that the second panel could form an escape hatch. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Schneider so that the second panel could form an escape hatch to provide and easy and efficient way for passengers to escape in the event of a crisis.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,110

Art Unit: 3654

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Conclusion

examiner should be directed to Terrell H. Matthews whose telephone number is

(571)272-5929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THM

KATHY MATECKI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600